



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 05/17/2007

Atty. Docket No.  
TROU1100-2

Applicant  
**Clint Miller**

Application Number  
**10/803,133**

Date Filed  
**March 17, 2004**

Title  
**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR QUERYING AN  
APPLIED DATA MODEL**

Group Art Unit  
**2167**

Examiner  
**Rayyan, Susan F.**

Confirmation Number:  
**6133**

Commissioner for Patents  
Mail Stop RCE  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. §1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail No. **EV679279206US** in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22312-1450 on **8-17, 2007**.

*Janice Rappell*  
Signature

*Janice Rappell*  
Printed Name

RULE 1.114 AMENDMENT

In response to the Official Action mailed May 17, 2007, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider the rejections of the Claims in view of this reply. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the patentability of the claimed invention in view of the remarks and amendments to the claims submitted herewith.

**A Listing of the claims** begins on page 3 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 8 of this paper.

**Summary of the Office Action**

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-3, 8-14, 19-22 and 24-25 stands rejected Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2006/0167927 ("Edelstein").

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 4, 5, 15, 16, 23 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Edelstein and U.S. Publication No. 2004/0002818 ("Kulp").

Claims 6, 7, 17 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Edelstein and Kulp, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,509,898 ("Chi").

**Summary of the Response to the Office Action**

Independent Claims 1, 12 and 23 have been amended. Claims 1-26 are pending in this application. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103 are hereby respectfully traversed.